

JACKSON, MISS.  
CLARION-LEDGERm. 58,910  
S. 96,653Front Edit Other  
Page Page Page

OCT 19 1964

Date:

Dear Editor:

In England we had the "Pro-fumo Case" now in the United States we have the "Jenkins Case."

The only difference, in England we had a top Defense Minister who was involved, whereas in the United States we have the top man in the government, the President of the United States.

There is no difference between the two cases as far as security is concerned, they are both detrimental to the country which they represent, but from the moral angle, the Pro-fumo Case more or less concerned the adult man and women, individuals with intelligence who were motivated by money, power and espionage, whereas the Jenkins Case concerns the perverted adult and innocent child and is motivated by a selfish desire of immoral practices.

This is using a very polite word which should actually be spelled out so the parents of these innocent children can be warned of the dangers that are lurking in and around playgrounds, zoos, vacant buildings, schools and neighborhoods which can cause their children irreparable harm by these perverts and homosexuals.

My object in writing this article is to cite the lack and inconsistency in our security set-up whereby the President of the United States can place in a very important position a man of questionable character without conducting a personal security questionnaire on the subject involved.

What authority does the President have in hiring and firing personnel in higher official capacity, regardless of who they may be, without security investigations and clearances?

It is about time for the Central Intelligence Agency, the Justice Department and the Federal Bureau of Investigation to do a little more investigating in Washington instead of concentrating all of their manpower in the southern states.

R. Scherer Amrein,  
Coffeeville, Mississippi